UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT EASTERN DISTRICT OF NEW YORK

UNITED STATES OF AMERICA			CA	APPLICATION AND ORDER OF EXCLUDABLE DELAY						
(EVAN	gref be L		(Case No.	15	CR	637	(KAM)	
D		ited States of America								ch
		an information or indi trial of the charges ag	ictment must ainst defenda	be filed, o ant must co	r (XW) ommence. ((XC)				
The par	ties seek	the exclusion of the fo	regoing perio	od because	;					
	hout trial would r	they are engaged in pl , and they require an e ot, despite their dilige	exclusion of tence, have rea	ime in orde asonable ti	er to focus one for effect	efforts ctive pr	on plea eparati	negotia on for tr	tions without th	
	(V) ()	they need additional	time to prepa	are for trial	due to the	comple	exity of	case,		·•
this Cou	mendmer ırt adopte	endant states that he/shat to the Constitution; to d pursuant to that Act he/she has a right to be	the Speedy Ti ; and Rule 50	rial Act of (b) of the	1974, 18 U Federal Rul	I.S.C. § les of C	§ 316 Crimina	1-74; the	plan and rules ure. The defer	of idant
4			and the		da.	. 0		Pour		
Defenda		Ill mont		_	For U.S. A	Attorne	y, E.D.	N.Y.		
2	on the	S. Seek								
the time that this	ate belove within we exclusion	nt application of the University, the time period from which () an information of time serves the entereasons discussed of	on or indictmends of justice	20 IS nent must b and outwe	to Jan 2 be filed or (coigh the inter	20 , 20 /) trial	is he must c	ereby excommend	cluded in comp e. The Court f	uting finds
that they	trial, the y would be of due d	ven the reasonable like exclusion of time will be denied the reasonab iligence.	allow all cou	unsel to foo	cus their eff	orts on	plea n	egotiatio	ns without the	risk
	SO OR	DERED.								
Dated:	Brookly Dec 13	yn, N.Y 7 , 20 <u>15</u>				s/F	RML			
						mited:	States N	/agistrat	e Judge	